



---

An Extension of Greendlinger's Results on the Word Problem

Author(s): Seymour Lipschutz

Source: *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 15, No. 1 (Feb., 1964), pp. 37-43

Published by: American Mathematical Society

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2034346>

Accessed: 14/01/2010 02:14

---

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=ams>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).



American Mathematical Society is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*.

<http://www.jstor.org>

# AN EXTENSION OF GREENDLINGER'S RESULTS ON THE WORD PROBLEM

SEYMOUR LIPSCHUTZ

1. **Introduction.** In 1960 Greendlinger [3] solved the word problem for sixth-groups (see §2). In this paper we first solve the extended (generalized) word problem for certain subgroups of sixth-groups. We are then able (using results of Neumann [6]) to solve the word problem for generalized free products of sixth-groups with the above subgroups amalgamated.

The author conjectures that analogous results can be proven for classes of groups similar to sixth-groups—groups studied by Britton [2], Schiek [7] and Tartakovskii [8].

2. **Notations and definitions.** *Capital letters* denote words and *lower case letters* denote generators. We say that  $W$  is *fully reduced* if it does not contain more than half of a relator and it is freely reduced. We say that  $W$  is *cyclically reduced* if every cyclic transform of  $W$  is freely reduced, and that  $W$  is *cyclically fully reduced* if every cyclic transform of  $W$  is fully reduced.

We say that the words  $A_i$  satisfy the *one-sixth condition* if they have the following two properties: (i) the  $A_i$  are cyclically reduced, and (ii) if  $B_i$  and  $B_j$  are cyclic transforms of  $A_i$  and  $A_j$ , then less than one-sixth of the length of the shorter one cancels in the product  $B_i^{\pm 1} B_j^{\pm 1}$ , unless the product is unity.

We now have (cf. Lipschutz [5] or Greendlinger [3]) the

**DEFINITION.** A group  $G$  is a sixth-group if it is finitely presented in the form

$$G = \text{gp}(a_1, \dots, a_n; R_1(a_\lambda) = 1, \dots, R_m(a_\lambda) = 1),$$

where the set of relators  $R_i$  satisfy the one-sixth condition.

We use the notation:

$l(W)$  for the length of  $W$ ,

$A = B$  means  $A$  and  $B$  are the same element of  $G$ ,

$A \approx B$  means  $A$  is freely equal to  $B$ ,

$A \equiv B$  means  $A$  is identical to  $B$ ,

$A \wedge B$  means  $A$  does not react with  $B$ , that is, nothing cancels in the product  $AB$ .

**NOTE.** There is no loss in generality if we assume that, in the pres-

---

Received by the editors December 7, 1962.

entation of a sixth-group, the cyclic transforms and inverses of relators are also included in the set of relators.

**3. Preliminary lemmas.** We state without proof a main result (cf. Greendlinger [3, p. 82, generalization (1)]) on sixth-groups:

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $W$  is freely reduced and  $W=1$ , then  $W$  contains more than  $\frac{5}{6}$  of a relator or  $W$  contains disjointly two subwords, each containing more than  $\frac{3}{8}$  of a relator.*

**REMARK.** The extended word problem of a given subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is to decide whether or not an arbitrary given element of  $G$  is also in  $H$ . Usually  $G$  is given by generators and defining relations, and  $H$  is the subgroup generated by a given set of words in the generators of  $G$ . The extended word problem reduces to the word problem when  $H=1$ , hence is unsolvable in general (cf. Boone [1, §35]).

We easily prove the next lemma using results of Neumann [6]:

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $G$  be the free product of the groups*

$$G_i = \text{gp}(a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in}, b_{i1}, \dots, b_{in_i}; R_{i1} = \dots = R_{im_i} = 1) \\ (i = 1, 2, \dots)$$

*with the subgroups  $H_i = \text{gp}(a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in})$  amalgamated in the obvious way, that is, the presentation of  $G$  consists of the union of the generators and the defining relations of the  $G_i$  and the added defining relations*

$$a_{1\lambda} = a_{2\lambda} = \dots \quad (\lambda = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

*Then  $G$  admits a solution to its word problem if the following are known:*

- (a) *The word problem has been solved for the groups  $G_i$ .*
- (b) *The extended word problem has been solved for the subgroups  $H_i$  in  $G_i$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $W$  be in  $G$ . Then we can write

$$W \equiv W_1 \cdots W_n,$$

where each "factor"  $W_i$  is in some group  $G_j$  and no successive pair of factors  $W_i, W_{i+1}$  belong to the same group. If the factors  $W_i$  are not contained in the amalgamated subgroups then the (generalized free product) length of  $W$  is  $n > 0$  (cf. Neumann [6]) and  $W \neq 1$ . If a factor, say  $W_j$ , is in an  $H_i$  then we can find a word  $V(a_{i\lambda})$  such that  $W_i = V$ . Then

$$W = W_1 \cdots W_{j-1} V(a_{i\lambda}) W_{j+1} \cdots W_n$$

is a product of less than  $n$  factors. By continuing this process we can find the "length" of  $W$  and, in particular, determine if  $W=1$ .

The next two lemmas are about sixth-groups  $G$ . As the proofs are relatively long and combinatorial, we give them in §5 and §6.

LEMMA 3. Let  $l(W) = n$  and  $W \neq 1$ . If  $U$  is fully reduced and  $U = W$ , then  $l(U) \leq rn$ , where  $r$  is the length of the largest relator in the sixth-group  $G$ .

LEMMA 4. Let  $W$  be cyclically fully reduced and of infinite order. If  $W^2$  is also cyclically fully reduced then  $W^n$  is fully reduced for all  $n$ . If  $W^2$  is not cyclically fully reduced then there exists a relator

$$R \equiv W_1 W_2 W_1 T^{-1},$$

where  $W_1 W_2$  is a cyclic transform of  $W$ ,  $l(T) < \frac{1}{2}l(R)$  and  $(TW_2)^n$  is fully reduced for all  $n$ .

#### 4. Main results.

THEOREM 1. Let

$$G = \text{gp}(a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_m; R_1 = \dots = R_p = 1)$$

be a sixth-group, where every freely reduced word  $W = W(a_\lambda)$  is fully reduced. Then  $H = \text{gp}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  is a free subgroup of  $G$  with the  $a_i$  as free generators and one can solve the extended word problem with respect to  $H$ .

PROOF. By Greendlinger's Lemma 1, every word  $W(a_\lambda) \neq 1$  so  $H$  is free. Let  $V$  be in  $G$ . If  $V$  is in  $H$ , that is, if  $V = W(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  then, by Lemma 3, we know the maximum length of  $W$ . Since there are only a finite number of words  $W(a_\lambda)$  of any given length and since the word problem has been solved for sixth-groups, the theorem is true.

THEOREM 2. Let  $W$  be any element in a sixth-group  $G$ . Then one can solve the extended word problem with respect to the subgroup  $H = \text{gp}(W)$ .

PROOF. By a theorem of Greendlinger [3, p. 668], we can find the order of  $W$ . If the order of  $W$  is finite, say  $n$ , then any word  $V$  in  $G$  is also in  $H$  iff there exists an  $m$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq n$ , such that  $V = W^m$ . Since the word problem is solvable in  $G$ , this case is decidable.

Suppose  $W$  has infinite order. Since  $V$  is in  $\text{gp}(W)$  iff  $AVA^{-1}$  is in  $\text{gp}(AWA^{-1})$ , we can reduce our problem, by taking an appropriate conjugate of  $V$  and  $W$ , to the case where  $W$  is cyclically fully reduced and has the properties of Lemma 4.

If  $W^n$  is fully reduced for all  $n$ , then our theorem, as in Theorem 1, follows from Lemma 3. If  $W^n$  is not fully reduced then, by Lemma 4,

$$W^n \equiv (W_1 W_2 W_1 W_2)^m W^\epsilon = (TW_2)^m W^\epsilon,$$

where  $\epsilon = 1$  or  $\epsilon = 0$ . Hence  $V$  is in  $\text{gp}(W)$  iff  $V$  or  $VW^{-1}$  is in  $\text{gp}(TW_2)$ . But  $(TW_2)^m$  is fully reduced for all  $m$ . So the theorem is true for this case also.

The next two theorems follow directly from the previous theorems and Lemma 2.

**THEOREM 3.** *In the notation of Lemma 2 suppose that each  $G_i$  is a sixth-group and any freely reduced word  $W(a_{i\lambda})$  is fully reduced. Then the generalized free product  $G$  of the  $G_i$  amalgamating the subgroups  $H_i$  has a solvable word problem.*

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $G_1, G_2, \dots$  be sixth-groups. Let  $H_i$  be a cyclic subgroup of  $G_i$  generated by  $W_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ). If the orders of the  $W_i$  are equal then the generalized free product  $G$  of the  $G_i$  amalgamating the subgroups  $H_i$  has a solvable word problem.*

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}_1$  consist of groups  $G$  which admit solutions to their word problems and to their extended word problems with respect to the infinite cyclic group generated by any element  $W$  in  $G$  of infinite order. Let  $\mathcal{G}_k, k > 1$ , consist of groups  $G$  which are the generalized free products of groups in  $\mathcal{G}_{k-1}$  with an infinite cyclic group amalgamated. Then any group  $G$  in  $\mathcal{G}_k$  admits a solution to its word problem, and the extended word problem with respect to any infinite cyclic subgroup is solvable.*

**PROOF.** In view of Lemma 2, we need only solve the extended word problem for  $G$  in  $\mathcal{G}_k, k > 1$ , with respect to the infinite cyclic group generated by, say,

$$W \equiv W_1 W_2 \cdots W_n,$$

where the  $W_i$  are not in the amalgamated subgroups and  $W_i, W_{i+1}$  do not belong to the same group in  $\mathcal{G}_{k-1}$ , that is, the length of  $W$  is  $n > 0$ . By taking an appropriate transform of  $W$ , we can further assume, without loss in generality, that  $W$  is cyclically reduced, that is,  $W_1$  and  $W_n$  are also in different groups. Then the length of  $W^m$  is precisely  $mn$ . Let  $V$  be in  $G$ . By the process of Lemma 2, we can determine the length of  $V$ . Since the word problem has been solved in  $G$  by Lemma 2 and the inductive hypothesis, we can decide if  $V = W^m$  for some  $m$ , that is, if  $V$  is in  $\text{gp}(W)$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $\mathcal{G}_1$  is the class of sixth-groups and  $\mathcal{G}_k, k > 1$ , is defined as in Theorem 5, then group  $G$  in  $\mathcal{G}_k$  admits a solution to its word problem.*

**5. Proof of Lemma 3.** Let  $W$  be a word of minimum length for which the lemma is not true, that is,  $W = V^{-1}$ , where  $l(V) > nr$  and

$V$  is fully reduced. So

$$(1) \quad WV = 1.$$

The minimality of  $W$  guarantees that  $W$  is also fully reduced and that (1) is freely reduced. Thus (1) must satisfy Lemma 1; in particular, since  $W$  and  $V$  are fully reduced,  $WV$  must contain  $>\frac{5}{6}$  of a relator  $R$ . Say  $W \equiv AB$ ,  $V \equiv CD$ ,  $S \equiv BC$ , where  $R \equiv SE^{-1} \equiv BCE^{-1}$  and  $l(S) > \frac{5}{6}l(R)$ .

Now, substituting in (1), we have

$$WV \equiv ABCD \equiv ASD = AED = 1.$$

Notice that:

$$l(D) > r(n-1),$$

$$D \neq 1 \text{ since } l(D) > 0 \text{ and } D \text{ is fully reduced,}$$

$$l(C) \leq \frac{3}{6}l(R) \text{ since } V \text{ is fully reduced,}$$

$$l(B) > \frac{2}{6}l(R) \text{ since } l(S) > \frac{5}{6}l(R),$$

$$l(E) < \frac{1}{6}l(R) \text{ since } l(S) > \frac{5}{6}l(R).$$

Thus  $l(E) < l(B)$ , which implies  $l(AE) < l(AB) = l(W)$ . But  $AE = D^{-1}$  also violates Lemma 3. This contradicts the minimality of  $W$ , so our lemma is true.

**6. Proof of Lemma 4.** The following remark is easily proven for sixth-groups. Suppose there is a relator  $R' \equiv A^n B$ , where  $n > 1$ . Then either

$$l(A) \leq l(A^{n-1}) < \frac{1}{6}l(R')$$

or there exists a word  $C$  such that  $A \equiv C^s$ ,  $B \equiv C^t$  and, therefore,  $R' \equiv C^m$ . For the cyclic transform  $A^{-1}B^{-1}A^{1-n}$  of  $R'^{-1}$  absorbs  $A^{n-1}$  from  $R'$ .

Let  $W^n$  contain more than half of a relator, say  $S$ , where  $R \equiv ST^{-1}$  and  $l(S) > \frac{1}{2}l(R)$ . If we show that  $S$  must be contained in  $V^2$ , where  $V$  is a cyclic transform of  $W$ , then this proves the first part of the lemma. Suppose  $S$  is not contained in any  $V^2$ . Then  $S \equiv V^r A$ , where  $r > 1$  and  $V \equiv AB$ . Consequently  $R \equiv V^r A T^{-1}$ . By the previous remark, either

$$l(S) = l(V^r A) = l(V^{r-1}) + l(V) + l(A) \leq \frac{3}{6}l(R)$$

or, for some  $C$ ,  $V \equiv C^s$  and  $R \equiv C^m$ . In the first case we contradict the fact that  $S$  is more than half of  $R$  and in the second case we contradict the fact that  $W$  is of infinite order. Thus  $S$  must be contained in  $V^2$ .

We can now assume without loss in generality that  $S \equiv W_1 W_2 W_1$ ,  $V \equiv W_1 W_2$  and  $R \equiv W_1 W_2 W_1 T^{-1}$ . Since  $W$  is cyclically fully reduced,

$l(V) \leq \frac{1}{2}l(R)$  which implies that  $T \neq 1$ . Also,  $W_2 \neq 1$  else  $R \neq V^2T^{-1}$  and, by the remark about sixth-groups,  $W$  will be of finite order. We can further assume, by maximizing the possible length of  $S$ , that  $W_2 \wedge T$  and  $T \wedge W_2$ . Note also that  $W_1 \wedge W_2$  and  $W_2 \wedge W_1$ , since  $W$  is fully reduced.

Next we note that  $W_2^{-1}W_1^{-1}TW_1^{-1}$ , which absorbs  $W_1$  from  $R$ , cannot be the inverse of  $R$  since  $T \wedge W_2$ ; hence  $l(W_1) < \frac{1}{6}l(R)$ . This last inequality can be used to show, by simple arithmetic arguments, that

$$l(W_2), l(T) > \frac{1}{6}l(R).$$

We are now ready to prove that  $(TW_2)^n$  is fully reduced for all  $n$ , which will prove our lemma.

Suppose  $(TW_2)^n$  contains  $Q$ , where  $Q$  is more than half of a relator, say  $R^* \equiv QP$  and  $l(Q) > \frac{1}{2}l(R^*)$ . There are four possibilities:

*Case I.*  $Q$  contains  $T$ , say  $Q \equiv MTN$ .

Then  $R^{*'} \equiv TNPM$  is the inverse of  $R \equiv W_1W_2W_1T^{-1}$  since more than one-sixth of  $R$ , i.e.  $T$ , is absorbed in the product of  $R$  with  $R^{*'}$ . But this contradicts:

$W_1 \wedge W_2$  if  $N$  is not empty,

$W_2 \wedge W_1$  if  $M$  is not empty,

$l(T) \leq \frac{1}{2}l(R)$  if  $M$  and  $N$  are empty.

Thus  $Q$  does not contain  $T$ .

*Case II.*  $Q$  contains  $W_2$ .

Since  $W_2$  is more than one-sixth of  $R$ , this case is also impossible as in Case I.

*Case III.*  $TW_2$  contains  $Q$ , say  $Q \equiv T^\alpha W_2^\alpha$  where  $T \equiv T^\beta T^\alpha$  and  $W_2 \equiv W_2^\alpha W_2^\beta$ .

Note that  $l(T^\alpha)$  or  $l(W_2^\alpha) > \frac{1}{6}l(R^*)$ . Then a cyclic transform of

$$R \equiv W_1W_2^\alpha W_2^\beta W_1(T^\alpha)^{-1}(T^\beta)^{-1}$$

will absorb more than  $\frac{1}{6}$  of  $R^* \equiv T^\alpha W_2^\alpha P$  or  $R^{*-1}$ . That these relators cannot be inverses follows either from the fact that  $W_1 \wedge W_2$  or from the fact that  $W_1 \wedge T^{-1}$ . Accordingly, this case is impossible.

*Case IV.*  $W_2T$  contains  $Q$ .

Impossible as in Case III.

Thus we have proven our lemma.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. W. Boone, *The word problem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **70** (1959), 207–265.
2. J. L. Britton, *Solution of the word problem for certain types of groups*, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. **3** (1956), 45–54.

3. M. Greendlinger, *Dehn's algorithm for the word problem*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **13** (1960), 67–83.
4. ———, *On Dehn's algorithm for the conjugacy and word problems, with applications*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **13** (1960), 641–677.
5. S. Lipschutz, *On powers of elements in  $S$ -groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962), 181–186.
6. B. H. Neumann, *An essay on free products of groups with amalgamations*, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **246** (1954), 503–554.
7. H. Schiek, *Ähnlichkeitsanalyse von Gruppenrelationen*, Acta Math. **96** (1956), 156–252.
8. V. A. Tartakovskii, *Solution of the word problem for groups with a  $k$ -reducible basis for  $k > 6$* , Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **13** (1949), 483–494.

POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE OF BROOKLYN