STEVEN K. BAUM

The Psychology of Genocide

Perpetrators, Bystanders, and Rescuers

Siegfried JOSEPH 1916 - Theodore JOSEPH 1905 - Theodore JOSEPHSOHN 1911 - Joseph JOSIPOVICI 1915 - Chaim JOSKO

1927 - Jouda Léon JOSSUA 1897 - Joseph JOST - Sophie JOSTER 1905 - Charles JOUDKIS 1918 - Jacques JO

8 • Irène JOURAFF 1918 • Jacques JOURAFF 1897 • Paulette JOURAFF 1920 • Sarah JOURAFF 1899 • Abraham JOUR

Z 1876 · Laja JOZEFOWICZ 1908 · Selig JOZEFOWICZ 1911 · Szmul JOZEFOWICZ 1876 · Mayer JUBENGLO

Odette JUCHT 1938 • Suzanne JUCHT 1933 • Léon JUDA 1873 • Georges JUDAS 1885 • Mathilde JUDAS 1888 • Tarr Y 1887 • Faja JUDKIEWICZ 1913 • Majrym JUDKOWSKI 1905 • Elias JUDKOWSKY 1902 • Esther JUDKOWSK

Y 1926 - Albert JUNGERMAN 1941 - Annette JUNGERMAN 1938 Berel JUNGERMAN 1899 - Charlotte JUNGERMA

AN 1921 - Marcel JUNGERMAN 1925 - Mejlock JUNGERMAN 1918 - Rifka JUNGERMAN 1900 - Sidney JUN

Samuel JURGA 1901 • Isak JURIS 1893 • Kalman JURIS 1907

P12 • Edia JUSIM 1938 • Hoja JUSIM 1904 • Mendel JUSIM 1905

Z 1938 • Golda JUSKIEWIEZ 1907 • Salomon JUSKIEWIEZ 1934

Eric KABANSKY 1870 • Sarah KABANSKY 1870 • S

Z 1938 • Golda JUSKIEWIEZ 1907 • Salomon JUSKIEWIEZ 1934 Julia KAC 1925 • Rachla KAC 1900 •

7 • Tibor KACMANN 1920 • Alexandre KACSER 1889 • Berthe KACSER 1901 • Georges KACSER 1928 • Erich KAC

884 • Jacques KADDOUCH 1926 • Alphonse KADDOUCHE 1939 • Joseph KADDOUCHE 1940 • Julie KADDOUCH

1926 · Cécile KAEZOW 1899 · Cejba KAFOWA 1885 · Alexandre KAGAN 1878 · Chana KAGAN 1903 · Clara

40 - Jankiel KAGAN 1880 - Jules KAGAN 1918 - Léon KAGAN 1928 - Madeleine KAGAN 1917 - Maurice KAGAN 1918 - Léon KAGAN 1928 - Madeleine KAGAN 1917 - Maurice KAGAN 1918 - Léon KAGAN 1928 - Madeleine KAGAN 1917 - Maurice KAGAN 1918 - Léon KAGAN 1928 - Madeleine KAGAN 1917 - Maurice KAGAN 1918 - Léon KAGAN 1928 - Madeleine KAGAN 1917 - Maurice KAGAN 1918 - Léon KAGAN 1928 - Madeleine KAGAN 1918 - Léon KAGAN 1928 - Madeleine KAGAN 1918 - Maurice KAGAN 1918 - Léon KAGAN 1928 - Madeleine KAGAN 1918 - Maurice KAGAN 1918 - Madeleine Madeleine KAGAN 1918 - Madeleine M

· Sendel KAGAN 1906 · Léonie KAGANOVITZ 1885 · Maria KAGANOWITZ 1899 · Hersz KAGANSKI 1896 · Abraham 8 - Berthe KAHAN 1886 - Leib KAHAN 1886 - Maurice KAHAN 1905 - Mendel KAHAN 1899 - Moïse KA

- Uzer KAHAN 1904 - Anna KAHANE 1886 - Simon KAHANE 1879 - Adolphe KAHN 1878 - Adolphe KAHN 1881 - A

André KAHN 1880 • Arthur KAHN 1874 • Arthur KAHN 1907 • Benni KAHN 1882 • Charles KAHN 1909 • Clair

Edgard KAHN 1907 • Edgard KAHN 1932 • Emma KAHN 1898 • Félix KAHN 1879 • Flore KAHN 1929 • Frederic · Georges KAHN 1879 · Gérard KAHN 1909 · Gerda KAHN 1905 · Germaine KAHN 1888 · Heinz KAHN 1907 · Hél

Henriette KAHN 1877 • Herbert KAHN 1893 • Hugo KAHN 1879 • Hugo KAHN 1913 • Irène KAHN 1905 • Isaac 0 - Jeanne KAHN 1884 - José KAHN 1893 - Jules KAHN 1878 - Jules KAHN 1905 - Julius KAHN 1881 - Lazar

éon KAHN 1889 - Léon KAHN 1915 - Leopold KAHN 1884 - Louis KAHN 1874 - Lucien KAHN 1876 - Mathilde CAMBRIDGE N 1923 - Raymonde KAHN 1894 - Renée KAHN 1881 - Roland KAHN 1922 - Rudo Pierre

egfried to Army 1913 - Soprie to HN 1881 - Sylvain KAHN 1879 - Sylvain KAHN 1930 - William KAHN 1876 - Jacques Kurt KAISER 1912 - Sarah KAISS 1882 - Anna KAJLER 1902 - Maurice KAJLER 1894 - Aaron KAKOWSKI 1884 - Samuel

The Psychology of Genocide

Genocide has tragically claimed the lives of over 262 million victims in the last century. Jews, Armenians, Cambodians, Darfurians, Kosovars, Rwandans, the list seems endless. Clinical psychologist Steven K. Baum sets out to examine the psychological patterns to these atrocities. Building on trait theory as well as social psychology. he reanalyzes key conformity studies (including the famous experiments of Ash, Milgram, and Zimbardo) to bring forth a new understanding of identity and emotional development during genocide. Baum presents a model that demonstrates how people's actions during genocide actually mirror their behavior in everyday life: there are those who destroy (perpetrators), those who help (rescuers), and those who remain uninvolved, positioning themselves between the two extremes (bystanders). Combining eyewitness accounts with Baum's own analysis, this book reveals the common mental and emotional traits among perpetrators, bystanders, and rescuers, and how a war between personal and social identity accounts for these divisions.

STEVEN K. BAUM is a Lecturer in the Department of Psychology at the University of New Mexico. He is the book review editor for the Journal of Hate Studies and author of When Fairy Tales Kill?: Origins and Transmission of Antisemitic Beliefs (2008).

The Psychology of Genocide

Perpetrators, Bystanders, and Rescuers

STEVEN K. BAUM



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi

Cambridge University Press

The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521713924

© Steven K. Baum 2008

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2008

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data Baum, Steven K., 1953-

The psychology of genocide: perpetrators, bystanders, and rescuers/ Steven K. Baum.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-521-88631-4 (hardback) – ISBN 978-0-521-71392-4 (pbk.)

1. Genocide. I. Title.

HV6322.7.B378 2008 304.6'63-dc22

ISBN 978-0-521-88631-4 hardback ISBN 978-0-521-71392-4 paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this book, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

2007052914

For Audrey Hummelen to honor Bert Hummelen, And to the rescuers, *alles goeie mensen* – those good people who live above hate. To be human is to recognize the cultural perspectives that bind us to a tribe, sect, religion, or nation and to rise above them.

David Krieger, Nuclear Age Peace Foundation

Contents

	List of figures	page viii
	List of tables	ix
	Acknowledgments	x
	Introduction	1
	Prologue	9
l	Charlotte's question	16
2	A bell curve of hate?	71
3	Perpetrators	117
1	Bystanders	153
5	Rescuers	181
5	Towards an emotionally developed world	220
	Index	240

Figures

1.1	Identity model: A tale of two minds	page 52	
2.1	Asch's line length test	85	
2.2	Maslow's hierarchy of needs	93	
2.3	A bell curve of perpetrators, bystanders,		
	and rescuers	105	
2.4	A model of perpetrators, bystanders, and rescuers	106	
4.1	Different types of bystanders (a continuum)	156	

Tables

1	Partial list of twentieth-century genocides	page 12
1.1	Implicit association tests (IATs)	23
1.2	Top antisemitic pogroms in Christendom	28
1.3	The eight stages of genocide formation	33
1.4	Personal and cultural differences	46
1.5	Personal and social identification theories	47
2.1	Stages of identity development	95
2.2	Personal identity tiers	100
2.3	Maturation tiers	103
3.1	Tier I perpetrator development levels	125
3.2	Perpetrator leaders	137
4.1	Bystander and rescuer differences	155
4.2	Tier II levels of bystanding	162
4.3	Shooters and refusers	171
5.1	Stages of rescue development	184
5.2	Levels of helping and rescue	199

Acknowledgments

This book could not have been written without the professional guidance of Gail Stanger, Franklin and Marcia Littell of Holocaust and the Churches. Thanks to James Waller of Whitworth College and Joanie Eppinga for her masterful editing of earlier drafts, Gonazaga University's George Critchlow, Jeri Shepard and the staff at the Journal of Hate Studies. Additional thanks to Steven L. Jacobs for his encouragement via the International Association of Genocide Scholars. I am also appreciative of Frederick Schweitzer, Seth Schwartz, Jeff Rudski, Israel Charny, Robert Carom, Amer Menkara, Paddy Rawal, Dennis Jackson, Saul Nosenchuk, Ryan Eastwood, John Emory Bush, Martin Gilbert, Shimon Samules, and Hubert Locke for reading drafts, and for data from Peter Fleissner of the European Monitoring Centre on Racism and Xenophobia, Vienna, and for interviews with Pieter Broersma, Marion Pritchard, Rudy Florian, as well as the librarian Sara Grosveld at the Vidal Sassoon International Center for the Study of Antisemitism, Hebrew University, Jerusalem; Mary Vergowven at the Dorsch Branch of the Monroe County Library; and Denine Parker at the Juan Tabo branch of the Albuquerque Public Library.

This book could not have been published without the foresight of Andrew Peart, Carrie Cheek, and Elizabeth Davey at Cambridge University Press, and the reviewers' helpful suggestions.

This book could not have been written without the unflagging support of Kawagamites, Chathamites, and Windsorites Stephen Winbaum, Dan & Ruth Winbaum, Karen Tabachnick, Jimmy Heller, Ron "R. J." Barb and Silver, Patty and Muriam Muroff, Bonnie L. Croll, Lampie/Cuddles; Lisa Taylor, Paul Nesseth, Jim Seltz, Joel Sekely, Joe Hindin, Karen Petersen, Alex McKee, Rose Burko, Jordan, Shaina and Josh, Elizabeth Isack,

Henry & Rita Muroff, Herb Willis, Jack Kahn, Eve Kahn, Ken Carte. and Jan Williams, Harvey Kessler, Sandi Malowitz, Mark and Randi Biederman, Paul Adams, George Bernstein, Mark and Melinda Harris, Mary Linda Murphy, Gay, Drs. John, Nick, and Bill Pignanelli, Robert Glanz, Carmine Marotta and Mazel, Diane "DeDe" Lampe, Helen Sharpe, and Betty Baum, Sylvia Baum, Ginette Baum, Jack Baum, and Alice Nemeth, Lisa Isack, Lauree Pasquilito, John Pasquilito, Pat Duronio, Morris Paulson, Joel Barkoff, Julianna Lerner, Annette Pont-Gwire, Diana Trivax, Ruth & Irwin Kahn, Beth Greenbaum, Madie Weingarten, Neal Rosenberg, Darlene Kopke, Sue Bellino, Bonnie Frederick, Annette Sherry, coach Laury Dworet, and spiritual supporters Vince Vanlimbeek and Lois Liedel. Hartelijk bedankt to Audrey's familie inzonderheid Mary Hummelen, Andrew, Tena, Greg, Robert, Rebecca and Michael Hummelen, Oom Koos and Tante Anya Hummelen, Bert and Judith Hummelen, Heleen and Henk Van Zuiden, Tante Rennie Hummelen, Oom Hittjo and Tante Ria Hummelen, Jacob and Adriana Bax and the whole Bax family, Uncle Jake and Tante Rennie Hulzebos, Back in Southwestern Ontario - the usual Theatre Kent suspects Norm McLeod, Bill Shaw, Dennis O'Neil, Ruthie Baleka, Sharon and Leonard Jubenville and other friends, Robert Fox, Jack Carroll, Rick and Annette Birmingham, Cheryl Crawford, Cammie Mathers, Lu-Ann Cowell, Penny Harper, Chisanga Puta-Chekwe, Evelyn Dodds, Terry Torrence and Bryan Chambers, Bryan and Shannon Prince and, of course, Richard Howard and Audrey who live this from Les Mis:

To love another person is to see the face of God.

Introduction

As I walked into the Los Angeles Museum of Tolerance at the Simon Wiesenthal Center, I was confronted with a choice of two doors: one was marked *Prejudiced*, while the second door was marked *Not Prejudiced*. With the best of intentions, all visitors try to enter through the second door and cannot, as that door remains permanently locked. Consequently all must pass through the prejudiced door. Initial perplexed looks soon subside giving way to the realization that all of us harbor prejudiced and hateful beliefs. Visitors are left to explore more of their assumptions as they move through a maze of photographs and exhibitions.

Meandering through the gallery of inhumane indignity, I saw something else – a look in the eyes of those in the photographs. Several of the photos seemed to capture a feeling state that appeared quite distinct and quite different from others. The eyes of the perpetrators had a mocking and gleeful quality to them. By contrast, the eyes of others who were helping the victims held an alertness, alacrity, and kindness. And a third group's eyes remained a mystery; they appeared to be staring into space as if they were watching the whole thing on television.

The victim's eyes were all the same – sad and scared. I did not know any of them but through an austere museum's exhibit sixty years later our eyes locked and they asked me a question – why? Why was it that in the hell called the Holocaust, some people rescued and others maimed and the majority remained immobilized?

Note: I have all but avoided the term "evil" since it carries religious and philosophical overtones. Instead I would offer the idea that in a population there will be some who will be perpetrators, and they will harm innocents.

"It is easier to denature plutonium than to denature the evil spirit of man," said Albert Einstein. I didn't think so. For several years in Canada I worked for the Ministry of Corrections, often noting that the dark side of people had more to do with pedestrian psychological processes than an ethereal "evil." By the same token, when one looks towards traditional psychiatry and psychology for answers regarding genocide, one finds none. Even Sigmund Freud once wrote to a colleague regarding antisemitism and threw in his hat: "mankind on the average and taken by and large are a wretched lot." Several months later he was proven right as he and his daughter Anna narrowly escaped his beloved Vienna for London, never to return or to speak about it again.¹

Before it had a name, Holocaust research by the mid-1960s was the interest of a select few historians who believed something major had occurred and began to document the event. While the first wave of researchers had searched for flaws in the German character and culture, this next wave of researchers looked more towards situational determinants. About that time, psychologists' attentions began turning towards the social psychological forces involved in prejudice.

The search for a German national character, as with all national character research, proved futile. It was not until psychology began to focus on ordinary people that an understanding of such horrors shifted from character to cultural setting, though it would take another decade or two to fully integrate the research on ordinary people into a comprehensive understanding of genocide.

Conformity was key to understanding how people were seduced by the power of the situation. Yet, the social psychological approach had its limitations as well. For instance, social psychologists often gave short shrift when findings contained anything that

Freud never spoke about genocide though, through a series of letters to Einstein in 1931-1932, he tried to explain the purpose of war in "Why War?" See O. Nathan & H. Norden (1960) Einstein on peace. New York: Schocken Books, pp. 186-203.

resembled a "trait" component. Like an allergy, the researchers interpreted their findings to avoid, downplay, or explain away that which would have anything to do with the personality.

Let me provide an example. In the often-cited Milgram study, most (65 percent) subjects were prepared to shock one another into unprecedented levels of danger and alleged death. A small group even forced the resisting victim's hand down onto a shock plate. According to social psychology, the fate of humankind was sealed. We are all genocidalists. Put the average person in a similar situation and they will "just follow orders."

While it is frightening to think that most (65 percent) people will comply with a legitimate authority's request to injure another, that was not the whole story. Downplayed were the findings that one third of the subjects, and another third in other key conformity experiments, defied the researcher's demands to harm one another. In fact, some delayed or sabotaged or went out of their way to help those they thought would be victims. While not a formal cover-up, an important finding received subsequent attention – those who defied Dr. Milgram's orders had a constellation of personality traits that revealed a bigger story. These defiant traits appear as polar opposite to the obedients – those who followed orders and continued to shock another to a lethal level. "I am certain," concluded lead researcher Stanley Milgram, "that there is a complex personality basis to obedience and disobedience. But I know we have not found it."²

What Milgram had yet to discover was that those who defied authority, those who questioned him and chose to stop, those who were not as vulnerable to the social forces were more *emotionally* developed. The converse was equally as true. Those who were

² S. Milgram (1974) Obedience to authority. New York: Harper & Row, p. 205. Also see A. C. Elms & S. Milgram (1966) Personality characteristics associated with obedience and defiance toward authoritative command. Journal of Experimental Research in Personality, 1, 282-289; L. Kohlberg (1969) Stage and sequence: The cognitive-developmental approach to socialization. In D. A. Goslin (ed.), Handbook of socialization theory and research (347-480). Chicago: Rand-McNally.

compliant and conformed to the experimenter's orders and "just followed orders" were less emotionally developed. Between both those extremes lay a middle group who were moderately developed and ranged between the two extremes. Milgram's elusive "complex personality basis" of conformity appeared to be linked directly to emotional development. But what is emotional development?

As Stanley Milgram was lamenting the complexity of obedience findings, a research psychologist named Jane Loevinger and her colleagues at St. Louis's Washington University were developing a separate line of research called ego development. Ego development theory suggested that people complied and conformed based on how mature they were.

Maturity for the average person is generally defined socially. For developmentalists, maturity has to do with nuances of cultural conformity, ideas that were a bit ahead of their time. Even today there is more evidence and budding data, but mainstream psychology rarely mentions the name Jane Loevinger. Part of the problem is that the instrument she developed to measure maturity, the Sentence Completion Test (WUSCT) was cumbersome, unwieldy and difficult to score, making it an unlikely tool for dissertation work and related scientific use.

Yet, at the same time, there was plenty of related research data to back up Loevinger's theory and findings, especially in development, e.g. adult development, lifespan development, moral development, cognitive development, and religious development.

Loevinger's theory and research findings are actually quite simple and can be understood as follows – in terms of development, we are not all equal. Regarding maturity, some of us grow, some of us flounder, and in others growth remains stunted. In a general population, Loevinger said the vast majority of adults are somewhere in between the middle and lowest echelons of maturation.

While Loevinger's research did not address genocide per se, her research pointed towards the same psychological processes I had observed at the genocide exhibition. People who were emotionally developed were more independent minded – they conformed less to their social group and surrounding culture. People who were emotionally developed functioned at the highest levels of living. In civilian life, they helped others much more than the average person. In genocide, they rescued.

Conversely, the opposite was true of those in the least emotionally developed group. The least evolved people were the ones who were most likely to adhere to social standards and tradition. These were the ones who most closely identified with their social group and were more susceptible to the culture's norms. The less emotionally developed people were the ones who would comply with orders. In daily life, they ranged from misfits to true believers. In genocide, such persons would turn in Jews, round up the Gypsies and shoot Tutsis on sight. Like Milgram had suggested, all that was required was an authority (state, church, popular opinion) to deem the killing legitimate or, in the case of copycat killing, just the perception of permission.

While the proportions of each of these groups in a population can be debated, there is usually little debate on those who are midpoint between the two extremes. Bystanders constitute the majority of any population and are characterized by their moderate stance between the highly conforming perpetrators and the independently minded rescuers. Bystanders appear to play it safe by alternating between the two extremes.³ Yet they are wrong. There is no stance that is safe.

Disparities of all sorts (e.g. economic, educational) exist in life, but such disparities do not account for hate, terrorism, and genocide. While prejudice seems to decrease with education and income, only emotional development can explain the following exceptions – some very educated and wealthy people hate and prepare for jihads and genocides. By contrast, some very poor and uneducated people know to "do the right thing," helping where they can and rescuing. The

³ S. K. Baum (2004) A bell curve of hate. Journal of Genocide Research, 6, 118-132.

great spiritual teachers have suggested the same thing – life's inequalities were never so much about racial, economic, religious, or cultural differences, as about levels of conscious awareness.

I ask the reader's indulgence with some aspects of my model as levels of emotional development are difficult to see and even harder to prove. At the time of writing, Jane Loevinger has emeritus status from Washington University and though her ideas are esteemed, outside of adult development her work is largely unknown. Hampered by small numbers of subjects and unpublished doctoral dissertations, her work is yet to be introduced into those disciplines that currently dominate genocide studies. Many of the ideas that appear in this book are based on other nascent survey research studies as well.

Genocide experts write about the causes of genocide from a top-down approach, e.g. utopia or authoritarian regimes. By contrast, this study is a bottom-up perspective of genocide that has to do with what the average person thinks and how they act when the rules change or in the absence of rules.

The top-down genocide theorists would tell you that manipulative elites orchestrate genocide from the get-go and they may be correct in that genocides seem to be led by demagogues, some charismatic and others not so charming: Stalin (Russia), Mao/Chiang Kai-shek (China/Taiwan), Tojo (Japan), Agha Mohammed Yahya Khan (East Pakistan now Bangladesh), Pol Pot (Cambodia), Milosevic (Yugoslavia), Hitler (Germany), to name a few. But from a bottom-up analysis, by the time a demagogue has emerged on the scene, he is preaching to the converted. From this perspective, Hitler and his ilk said nothing new, nothing that the volk hadn't heard before. For years, people had retained all the social myths about Jews in the back of their minds. Such myths were reflected in fairy tales (the Grimms' Jew in the Bush, children's rhymes, state-sponsored statues (such as the Judensau) and church-sanctioned pilgrimage sites that honored sainthood for children martyred by "The Jews." Like a good populist, Hitler echoed what everyone "knew." It was as if God had read their minds.

Manipulative leaders will always exist, but they cannot succeed without the support of a following. Without the masses, without the support of ordinary people, a demagogue's diatribe would be dismissed as the rantings of a madman on his soapbox. Whether the soapbox is in Central Park, Hyde Park, or Dam Square matters not. "What really matters," observes Bard College's Ian Buruma, "is that the seductive quality of hate appeals to the average person's irrational fears, their vanities, their greed and their blood lust."

This book focuses exclusively on identity formation and membership in each of three groups: perpetrators, bystanders, and rescuers. Chapter 1 presents an overview of the current state of research and pleads a case for an adult developmental perspective. Chapter 2 begins to fashion an answer by proposing a normal population or bell curve of hate and rescue and linking it to perpetrators, bystanders, and rescuers. To better understand how each of these categories form, the psychological makeup of perpetrators, bystanders, and rescuers is delineated in the next three chapters. Chapter 3 explores the genocidal proclivities inherent in ethnic fundamentalists, religious fanatics and political ideologues - those that have become known in and out of genocide research as the perpetrators. Chapter 4 extends the inquiry into an examination of bystander psychology and the ease of transition into provisional perpetrator or rescuing mode. Chapter 5 highlights those who function at the highest levels of psychological health, examining why rescuers function as they do. The final chapter summarizes the material and invites the reader to ponder whether it is the individual or culture (or both) that needs to develop beyond the fray of social forces.

Like all other genocide scholars, I am trying to find a cure for the malignacy of hate. Often the evening news reminds us of the pervasiveness of jihadi terrorism and the genocidal mindset it

⁴ I. Buruma: see New York Times Book Review 12/10/2000, p. 13. For an interesting parallel between 9/11 and World War II Japanese rationale of war against the West see Ian Buruma and Avishai Margalit (2002) Occidentalism. In New York Review of Books 1/17/02, pp. 4-7.

engenders. Usually we just shake our heads and resign ourselves to the notion that this oldest and most primitive form of relating is here to stay. What has changed is that the scientific inquiry into hate and genocide has come of age. Perhaps, this time, a developmental approach can lead us to do something about it. From such a perspective – the only way out is up.

Prologue

The history of the world is a history of hate and genocide. At one level, it is difficult to deny this reality. In the 1980s, anthropologists in Belgium found more than 30 wounded, battered, and perforated skulls, of men, women, and children, believed to be at least 7,000 years old. And while ethnic conflict and group hatred may not be the only motives for war, such enmity seems to play a large part in most armed conflicts around the world. Only 16 of the world's 193 countries currently remain untouched by war. At any given time, an average of 50 nations are engaged in armed conflict, with some employing children as young as 6 years of age in combat.²

But the actual investigation, cataloguing, and defining of genocide is very recent. Following attorney/survivor Raphael Lemkin's (1900–1959) lead, Article 2 of the United Nation's Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide defines genocide as:

any of the following acts committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnic, racial or religious group, as such: Killing members of the group; Causing serious bodily or mental harm to members of the group; Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part; Imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group; and forcibly transferring children of the group to another group.³

At this time, Lemkin's United Nations definition remains the most widely accepted, even with its limitations. Definitional limits notwithstanding, we can see that the cost of genocide over the past century is particularly high. While the victims' only "crime" was